

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

bl

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/697,989	10/31/2003	Toshio Tetsuka	SN-US035137	8968	
22919 7590 10/26/2007 GLOBAL IP COUNSELORS, LLP			EXAMINER		
1233 20TH STREET, NW, SUITE 700			BOES, TERENCE		
WASHINGTON, DC 20036-2680			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3682		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/26/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/697,989	TETSUKA, TOSHIO		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Terence Boes	3682		

	Terence Boes	3682	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 19 October 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice o wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in ice with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	f Appeal. To avoid aba ffidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing dat	•		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP	later than SIX MONTHS from the maili (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	ng date of the final rejecti	ion.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of e under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	xtension and the corresponding amoun shortened statutory period for reply ori er than three months after the mailing d	it of the fee. The appropri ginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection.	but prior to the data of filing a brid	of will not be entered b	
(a)  ☐ They raise new issues that would require further or			ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bel		)	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	• •	educing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	piected claims	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.	· · ·	rjected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	• • •	compliant Amondment	(DTOL 224)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		ompliant Amendment	(I*10L*324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		timely filed amondmy	ant canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).	mowabie ii submitted iii a separate	, unicly nicu amendine	and candeling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1-3,6,8,9 and 13.	ovided below or appended.	vill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 4,5,7,10-12 and AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	<u>14</u> .		
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good at was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apports and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attach	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered b	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		$\sim$ ( )
13. Other:		1. 6	
	SUPE	RICHARD RIDLI ERVISORY PATENT	EY ()

**Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)** 

**Application No. 10/697,989** 

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment to the claims raises new issues that would require further consideration and search.